UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America)		
	v.)) Case No. 7:15-CR-82-D-1		
	TONY JEROME MURPHY) Case No. 7:13-01(-02-D-1		
	Defendant)		
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL				
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.				
Part I—Findings of Fact				
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted				
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. for which the prison term is 10 years or r	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) more.		
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.		
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in		
		*		
	•	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:		
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	e but involves:		
	☐ a minor victim			
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was comfederal, state release or local offense.	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed si	ince the \(\square\) date of conviction \(\square\) the defendant's release		
	from prison for the offense described in findi	ng (1).		
□ (4)		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety er find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)				
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de	efendant has committed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in .		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres the defendant's appearance and the safe	umption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ety of the community.		
	A	lternative Findings (B)		
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	nt will not appear.		
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Ba be	I find that the testimony and information clear and convincing evidence to assed on the defendant's waiver of his/her right as imposed which would reasonably assure the	to a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. dition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably y of another person or the community. The lack of stable employment case The lack of a suitable custodian The fact that the charges arose while on state probation The history of probation revocations		
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody rections facility separate, to the extent pra- g appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On orney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility		
Date:	09/28/2015	Falt Long Signature		
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ		
		Name and Title		